

# Implementation of General Semaphores Using Binary Semaphores

---

Anthony Howe  
June 18, 2001

# The Problem

---

Can we implement a general semaphore from a binary semaphore?

# Semaphores Review

---

- One of the first mechanisms proposed to handle inter-process synchronization
- A semaphore is an integer value that is only accessed through two atomic operations: *wait* and *signal*
- Busy-wait version

```
Wait(S):          while S <= 0 do no-op;  
                  S := S - 1;
```

```
Signal(S):       S := S + 1;
```

# Semaphores Continued

---

- Blocking version

```
Wait(S):      if S > 0 then
                S:=S-1
                else
                    block execution of
                    calling process
```

```
Signal(S):    if processes blocked on S then
                awaken one of them
                else
                    S:=S+1
```

- Binary semaphores only allow the integer to hold the values 0 and 1
- Binary semaphores are easier to implement than general semaphores

# Solution #1

---

```
var
    mutex=1: binary-semaphore;
    delay=0: binary-semaphore;
    C={initvalue}: integer;
```

```
Procedure Wait()
    begin
        wait(mutex);
        C:=C-1;
        if C < 0 then begin
            signal(mutex);
            wait(delay);
        end
        else
            signal(mutex);
        end
```

```
Procedure Signal()
    begin
        wait(mutex);
        C:=C+1;
        if C <= 0 then
            signal(delay)
        signal(mutex)
    end
```

# Solution #2

---

```
var
    mutex=1: binary-semaphore;
    delay=0: binary-semaphore;
    C={initvalue}: integer;
```

```
Procedure Wait()
    begin
        wait(mutex);
        C:=C-1;
        if C < 0 then begin
            signal(mutex);
            wait(delay);
        end
        signal(mutex);
    end
```

```
Procedure Signal()
    begin
        wait(mutex);
        C:=C+1;
        if C <= 0 then
            signal(delay)
        else
            signal(mutex)
        end
```

# Solution #3

---

**var**

```
mutex=1: binary-semaphore;  
delay=0: binary-semaphore;  
barrier=1: binary-semaphore;  
C={initvalue}: integer;
```

**Procedure** Wait()

```
begin  
wait(barrier);  
wait(mutex);  
C:=C-1;  
if C < 0 then begin  
    signal(mutex);  
    wait(delay);  
end  
else  
    signal(mutex);  
signal(barrier);  
end
```

**Procedure** Signal()

```
begin  
wait(mutex);  
C:=C+1;  
if C = 1 then  
    signal(delay)  
signal(mutex)  
end
```

# Solution #4

---

**var**

```
mutex=1: binary-semaphore;  
delay={min(1,initvalue)}: binary-semaphore;  
C={initvalue}: integer;
```

**Procedure** Wait()

```
begin  
wait(delay);  
wait(mutex);  
C:=C-1;  
if C > 0 then  
    signal(delay);  
signal(mutex);  
end
```

**Procedure** Signal()

```
begin  
wait(mutex);  
C:=C+1;  
if C = 1 then  
    signal(delay)  
signal(mutex)  
end
```



# Performance

---

- Semaphore Operations

	c<=0		c=1		c>1	
	Wait()	Signal()	Wait()	Signal()	Wait()	Signal()
<b>Semaphore</b>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
<b>Solution #1</b>	<i>Incorrect</i>	<i>Incorrect</i>	<i>Incorrect</i>	<i>Incorrect</i>	<i>Incorrect</i>	<i>Incorrect</i>
<b>Solution #2</b>	4	2	2	2	2	2
<b>Solution #3</b>	5	3	4	2	4	2
<b>Solution #4</b>	3	3	3	2	4	2

- Restrictive/Unrestrictive

# Conclusions

---

- The implementation of general semaphores using binary semaphores must be implemented carefully so no concurrency errors are introduced
- Various solutions exist, when choosing a solution examine the performance characteristics of each that best suits your needs
- Implementation of general semaphores using binary semaphores is not recommended when efficiency is a concern

# References

---

E. W. Dijkstra, *Cooperating Sequential Processes*, In F. Genuys (ed.) *Programming Languages*, 43-112. New York: Academic Press. 1968.

D. Hemmendinger, "A correct implementation of general semaphores", *Operating Systems Review*, vol. 22, no. 3 (July, 1988), pp. 42-44.

D. Hemmendinger, "Comments on "A correct and unrestrictive implementation of general semaphores"", *Operating Systems Review*, vol. 23, no. 1 (January, 1989), pp. 7-8.

C. Samuel Hsieh, "Further comments on implementation of general semaphores", *Operating Systems Review*, vol. 23, no. 1 (January, 1989), pp. 9-10.

P. Kearns, "A correct and unrestrictive implementation of general semaphores", *Operating Systems Review*, vol. 22, no. 4 (October, 1988), pp. 46-48.

A. Silberschatz and P.B. Galvin. *Operating System Concepts*, fifth edition, Addison Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1998.

A. Silberschatz and P.B. Galvin. *Operating System Concepts*, fifth edition, Addison Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, August 1998.